#### THE MANUFACTURING COUNCIL

DOC Building 14th & Constitution Avenue. N.W. Washington, DC

Wednesday, July 23, 2008

The meeting was convened, pursuant to notice, at 2:12 p.m., MR. FRED KELLER, Chairman, presiding.

APPEARANCES:

### MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

MR. FRED KELLER Cascade Engineering

MS. KELLIE JOHNSON ACE Clearwater Enterprises

MR. HARDING STOWE R.L. Stowe Mills, Inc.

MR. DANIEL DIMICCO Nucor Corporation

MR. PETER KAMENSTEIN
Kamenstein, a Division of
Lifetime Brands Corp.

MR. JASON SPEER Quality Float Works, Inc.

MR. HARDING STOWE R.L. Stowe Mills, Inc.

DR. DEAN BARTLES
General Dynamics Corporation

MR. DANIEL HOLMES, JR. Morrison Products, Inc.

### ALSO PRESENT:

HON. CARLOS GUTIERREZ Secretary of Commerce

HON. WILLIAM G. SUTTON
Assistant Secretary or Manufacturing and
Services

GOVERNOR JOHN ENGLER

HON. AL FRINK

JAMIE ESTRADA

MR. MATT HOWARD

# I N D E X

	<u>PAGE</u>
MEETING CALLED TO ORDER Mr. Fred Keller	4
SWEARING-IN OF NEW MEMBERS TO THE MANUFACTURING COUNCIL	8
REMARKS Hon. Carlos Gutierrez, Secretary of Commerce	
KEYNOTE SPEAKER Governor John Engler, President and CEO National Association of Manufacturers	15
REPORTS FROM BREAKOUT SESSIONS	
Manufacturing 2040 Subcommittee By Mr. Harding Stowe	43
Sustainable Manufacturing Subcommittee By Mr. Jason Speer	49
COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC	57
NEXT STEPS	63
ADJOURNMENT	64

### 1

## PROCEEDINGS

# 2

# MEETING CALLED TO ORDER

3 4

CHAIRMAN KELLER: I call the meeting of the Manufacturing Council to order.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'd like to comment that we've got important

work to do today. I want to acknowledge the efforts of everyone to be here. It's not easy. We have to come from all parts of the country. I know that Kellie came all the way from California, right? And I'm especially grateful to have the Secretary joining us a little later. He'll be here, maybe sometime.

I want to acknowledge our very first Assistant Secretary, Al Frink. Thank you for coming, Al, and for being here.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY FRINK: Pleasure to be here.

CHAIRMAN KELLER: We're delighted to have you And, of course, we have our Assistant Secretary here. for Manufacturing & Services, Woody, here, our numbertwo Assistant Secretary. We are very pleased to have you leading this Council or leading this effort. are a council advisor, I guess. Is that right?

> ASSISTANT SECRETARY SUTTON: Right.

CHAIRMAN KELLER: We have Matt Howard here today. Thank you for coming, Matt. Jamie, Deputy

Assistant Secretary. Thank you. And others of interest to the organization, thank you for being here. We also want to thank our organizers, Kate and Caroline, for helping us be an effective organization.

We have members of the public and other interested parties here. We thank you for being here. We are being recorded. Maybe this will go out to the world. I don't know if it'll go out to the world or not, but what we say is important and we look forward to this day.

This Council has been meeting since June of 2004, and we've been writing letters to the Secretary on many different issues: workforce development, health care, and tort reform, among others. Last year we focused on energy. It turned out to be -- thank you for being here. I was just saying thank you for being here.

SECRETARY GUTIERREZ: A pleasure. A pleasure. Am I on time here?

CHAIRMAN KELLER: You are absolutely on time.

We wrote a letter in November of 2007 that expanded and reiterated that letter, as we talked about energy as being a very important issue. We've been dealing with that now for a little over a year, and it turns out we were a little pathetic in our interests in

that regard. Six months ago we considered scenarios where it would be, gosh, unthinkable to have oil go above \$130 a barrel, and now we're relieved it's down to \$126. So, it is something that has proved to be very important to us, and continues to be important to us.

The Council last met via teleconference in June, June 27th. During the call, the Council received initial briefings on the Manufacturing 2040 and on the Sustainable Manufacturing Initiative.

Woody Sutton, our executive director of the Council, has created, with the Secretary's approval, the Council subcommittees and he will have those chairs established very soon.

So we have had a series of briefings today on Manufacturing 2040 and Sustainable Manufacturing, and we're going to hear from members of the subcommittees on their findings from today.

### SWEARING IN OF NEW MEMBERS

CHAIRMAN KELLER: I think, first, there have been several members that have not been sworn in. You may have the opportunity to swear in three of our members, at least.

Welcome, Governor Engler. Thank you for being here.

SECRETARY GUTIERREZ: Okay. If I could ask
Peter Kamenstein, Jason Speer, and Dan Holmes to join
me for a ceremony.

(Whereupon, the new Council members were duly sworn.)

(Applause)

CHAIRMAN KELLER: I believe that at this time, if you'd like to make a few remarks, we certainly would love to have you do that.

#### REMARKS

### By Secretary Carlos Gutierrez

SECRETARY GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. We have a full agenda, but I would like to make some comments about the economy, and very specifically about trade and manufacturing. We all know that recent jobs reports have been disappointing. Our economy is still growing, although it is growing slower than we would like.

The bipartisan stimulus package that was supported by Congress earlier this year is beginning to have an impact. I think we will see that reflected in the second quarter. We believe that it came just at the right time. We expect the stimulus package to help the economy as the year progresses, and we should be seeing, again, some impact when we report second quarter results.

Over time, as we look ahead to the future, we believe there are three things that we need to do to keep the fundamentals moving. We are going to get through the current situation, as we have gotten through similar circumstances in the past. But long term, it is important that we make the tax cuts permanent, that we give businesses visibility and

predictability into the future.

It is important that Congress allow for more environmentally responsible oil exploration to expand production. It doesn't make any sense to be asking countries around the world to do something that we are not willing to do. We have a lot more oil that we can produce for ourselves and not be relying on foreign countries.

And, finally, we need to pass pending free trade agreements with Colombia, Panama, and South Korea. Just as a reminder, it's been over 600 days since the Colombia Free Trade Agreement was signed, and since that time our exporters have paid over \$1.1 billion of tariffs that they would not have to pay had the agreement been approved. So, Congress needs to act on that as soon as possible. Those free trade agreements are also stimulus for our economy and Congress should approach that with the same sense of urgency that they did the stimulus package.

Like the National Association of

Manufacturers, we have been taking a close look at the
export numbers. I know Governor Engler will talk a
little bit more about this. The story from
manufacturing is good. Today we are releasing the
following information: the U.S. trade imbalance with

our 14 FTA partners has generated a surplus in manufactured goods. In the first five months of 2008, the trade balance in manufactured goods rose to a \$2.7 billion surplus with our FTA partners. That is up from a \$12.3 billion deficit during the same period last year.

In the first five months of 2008, the U.S. manufactured goods trade balance with our FTA partners improved by 122 percent over the first five months of 2007, and the balance with non-FTA partners also improved, but only by 6 percent. So the improvement in those countries where we have an FTA is so much greater than in those countries where we do not have an FTA. This improvement in the trade balance is due to increasing competitiveness of U.S. manufactured goods.

Some interesting facts: in 2007, manufactured goods exports were the highest in our history, accounting for 62 percent of total U.S. goods and services exports. Manufacturing productivity has increased every year over the past decade and it was up 3.6 percent in the first quarter of 2008, from the fourth quarter of last year.

With less than 5 percent of the global population, the U.S. produces more than 20 percent of world manufactured goods, the largest output in the

world. Free trade agreements continue to work for our manufacturers. Free trade agreements are critical for economic competitiveness beyond manufacturing. Just to give you an idea, and these are facts that we do not often discuss, and perhaps are too often overlooked, President Bush has implemented free trade agreements with 11 countries.

Our trade surplus with those countries has grown from \$3.8 billion in 2000 to \$21 billion in 2007. So I will just repeat: countries with which we have implemented FTAs since the President took office, 11 countries, have a trade surplus of \$21 billion. So this is no time for a time-out on trade.

We are actually moving too slowly on trade. There is an estimate of about 100 agreements being either signed, negotiated, or completed around the world. We have three of those. We have agreements with 14 countries. Countries like the European Union have over 40, Chile has over 45, China is negotiating, and will soon have, well over 20. So as the rest of the world is moving forward very quickly, we are standing still. We are going to pay a very heavy price for that.

We need to move forward, we need to approve our agreements, and this is not a time to adopt

economic isolationist policies. Countries with which we have trade challenges are countries with which we do not have free trade agreements. So the lesson is, we need more free trade agreements and we need to be moving as quickly as possible to add to our current list of free trade agreements. FTAs help clarify rules and provide a level playing field for Americans to compete fairly.

Passage of the pending FTAs would give U.S. companies and workers greater access to 100 million consumers. So we look forward to working with you to pass these agreements, to continue to create jobs, to continue to drive growth. We appreciate the Council's support. Right now the focus is on Colombia. We need to get Colombia through, then Panama, then Korea. Then we need to get back to negotiating as many free trade agreements as possible.

Again, the challenges we have and the enforcement issues we have with countries around the world are with those countries where we do not have a free trade agreement. Free trade agreements have been very helpful to our economy, to our workers, to our businesses, and we need to get on with it.

So, thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'll turn it back to you.

CHAIRMAN KELLER: Thank you very much. were impressive results, indeed. It's good to have the results clear to us. Thank you also for hosting us. We appreciate that.

CHAIRMAN KELLER: It's a great pleasure to have with us Governor Engler, President and Chief Executive Officer of National Association of

SECRETARY GUTIERREZ: A pleasure. Thank you.

Manufacturers, the largest industry trade group in 10 America, representing small and large manufacturers in

11 every industrial sector in all 50 States.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A former three-term governor of Michigan, he became president of NAM on October 1, 2004. It is a true advocacy group. They have a mandate, unlike this group, to represent the special interests of manufacturing to all levels of government. I contrast that role to our purpose. We are here as advisors to the Secretary on ways the administration can utilize our strength as manufacturers to propel the economy forward.

As NAM president, Governor Engler is an advocate for all of us in manufacturing, educating the public and policymakers on issues that affect this critical sector of the U.S. economy. He promotes a broad-based agenda for maintaining U.S. competitiveness by advocating the needs of manufacturers everywhere in the U.S., including taxation, regulation, health care, litigation costs, energy costs.

Recognizing that manufacturing provides the bulk of U.S. exports, Governor Engler also promotes opening up foreign markets to this country's manufactured goods. Under his leadership, NAM has helped win adoption of the Central American Free Trade Agreement, CAFTA, in 2005, and actively promotes other free trade agreements. Also during his tenure at NAM, Governor Engler has advocated vigorously for a balanced approach to developing energy resources to meet our increased needs for energy.

Today we are fortunate to have the Governor address us as our keynote speaker, and I would like to turn it over to you, John. We've got about 15 minutes, but we hope you can accomplish that in about 15 minutes or so.

1

### KEYNOTE SPEAKER

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Governor John Engler President and CEO of

National Association of Manufacturers

GOVERNOR ENGLER: I think I can. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, very much. I appreciate the opportunity to be here with you in the Manufacturing Council. good to see Secretary Gutierrez here, and Secretary Sutton, and the original Secretary of Manufacturing, Al Frink, back there. I would note that seated beside Al is someone that I'm very proud of, the president of the Manufacturing Institute, Emily diRocca. Emily was Assistant Secretary in Department of Labor, the expert in the Federal Government on workforce issues. She has

I think workforce issues remain one of the greatest challenges we've got in this country, and our National Center for the American Workforce, and -- part of that Manufacturing Institute, I think has already celebrated its 10th anniversary, but I think is ready to move to a whole new level because the skills are critical -- several States. Up in Philadelphia last week, the governors had their 100th, centennial meeting.

brought that expertise to the NAM.

It's interesting that there was a fair amount of discussion and recognition of economic development efforts on the part of the State are deeply -- training the workforce. I think the demographics don't lie. We're going to need everybody. To me, it's one of the ways, ultimately, that the immigration issues and challenges all get --

I'll be very brief and hopefully have time for questions. I appreciate the Secretary and the Department's emphasis on manufacturing. I appreciate the opportunity to be here. Woody, you were kind to invite me. You put your reputation at risk by having me here.

(Laughter)

GOVERNOR ENGLER: But I had the privilege of speaking at the National Competitiveness Summit in Chicago back in May. That was a terrific event, and a crowded agenda. There were a lot of them around that time. Secretary Gutierrez described competitiveness as a global issue, from education on free trade agreements — and he was spot—on, I think, on that list. I'll add a couple of things today that he's talked a lot about, and I talk a lot about when I get a chance to go around the country: manufacturing competitiveness — health care, legal reform regulations, infrastructure. It's a

long list because manufacturing is so vital to this country, that many of the policies that are debated in the Congress, even if they're not viewed as a manufacturing issue, directly or indirectly impact the manufacturing economy.

We think it's important for all the candidates to address these as they go through the 2008 elections. It's always said that -- election -- more important, but I can tell you this, there's never been an election that has been held at a time when manufacturing faces more formidable competitive threats around the world.

People are coming and they see what we've enjoyed and they've liked that. They're out there saying, how do we organize ourselves to capture what they may not want to fight for it in the USA anymore, what they may not be prepared to sustain. So we at the Manufacturer's Association are thinking a lot about the year 2040, because I know that's what you've been thinking about. It seems like it's not very far off.

But right now, what happens between now and 2010 is pretty important, too. There are some things that I want to focus on that are in the near term that I think can be addressed by policymakers. They're not going to get much done before the November election, but it is important that America send to Washington and

choose among the candidates those whose policies reflect that thinking, a recognition of the realities of manufacturing and of this global competition.

Our NAM cost study is still valid today, and we use it still with members of Congress and policymakers as pretty important evidence. U.S. manufacturers start out at a 32 percent cost disadvantage compared to major competitors. We look at the components. We left labor out, because we did not want to get into a labor argument. We said, look, in so many companies today the labor costs are less than 10 percent of the product. Labor is not the decisive factor, but energy costs are very decisive today.

Companies of all sizes, all industries, of all kinds are saying energy is their number-one cost today -- cost, legal risk, regulations, especially regulations -- than we received in the past, mandated benefits, taxes owed. Policies like that all impact. On taxes, the U.S. corporate tax today rates at the top. We're number-one in something. Unfortunately, it's our corporate tax rate. We've been losing ground.

We haven't actually been raising the corporate tax rate. They've been lowering it. They've understood that as part of that analysis of what we do, what -- this past year alone, six countries already

have announced plans to cut corporate tax rates -Canada. Japan is having this discussion. Previously
they were -- South Africa, Taiwan. We can't even get
the Congress to lock in an extension of the R&D tax
credit. The President has repeatedly called for it to
be made permanent. It should be.

We need to have an innovation economy, and part of that is an appropriate R&D tax credit. It ought to be a better credit. It ought to be more robust, more beneficial. Instead, it is trying for the 13th time and is awaiting renewal. For some companies, that is a penny or something on earnings that may mean missing a quota because you can't count -- the fact -- good intentions -- need a deduction that you can put on the balance sheet. Candidates have to be made aware. Also, I think the candidates -- the consequences of letting the '01 - '03 21st century tax cuts expire. What happens if that tax goes back to its previous level? What does that mean for small business in America -- business down to the next generation?

On trade, the Secretary has spoken eloquently on some of the numbers. I mean, they're powerful.

They're positive -- where our free trade agreements exist. There was a deficit and we were lobbying in Congress. That is moved to nearly \$2 billion positive

in that region. Congress has agreed to pass trade preferences for nations around the world, but when it comes time -- which allows them access to this market, well, it's time to give us access on a reciprocal basis to that market, such as in Colombia and Panama, it has not yet happened.

Forty percent of all economic growth last year was attributable to exports, so it's a pretty powerful driver in the American economy. We can't take a time-out on trade if there are 90 to 100 agreements being negotiated that we're not a part of. It's a good indication. Frank Bargo from the NAM is with Ambassador Susan Schwab in Geneva this week, in time for the Doha Round. But I think it's going to be very, very difficult. The deals are getting made. We're just not part of the deals. Yesterday, I noted that the President and Secretary were celebrating independence day in Colombia and pushing the Colombian-U.S. Free Trade Agreement.

I just want to reemphasize the numbers. Since that deal was signed, we paid an extra \$1.1 billion in tariffs to the nation of Colombia. That means we—and I mean exporters—the businesses in this country, did not have that money to give to their shareholders, to their employees, or reinvest in their business.

On energy, I am pleased that we are now seeing a lot of effort in the Congress on this issue. There has to be more than talk, though -- on energy. But even the Congress can't generate enough to make -- traditional fuels. But that is very clear, that traditional forms of energy--coal, natural gas, oil and nuclear--have been mainstays. The alternative fuels can add to the supply, there's no question. They're going to be increasingly important in the future. And conservation and energy efficiency are also vital.

There are some significant business opportunities when we think about America's energy security, but we have to have supply. We can't do it all on the conservation side. When it comes to supply, the -- supply is simple: we project that there will be growth of the economy. Without growth, we don't need the energy. If we don't have growth, we're going to have a lot of other problems, too. So that is pretty clear, that growth requires energy and we're going to need the traditional sources in the near term.

We are very pleased to see the administration lift the moratorium on offshore Outer Continental Shelf exploration just a week ago. Eighty-five percent of the energy potential of offshore is locked off-limits today, and we see nations that we compete with around

the world on drilling in an environmentally safe manner, which we have done and will continue to do in this country. We're giving away a lot. ANWAR can produce 10 billion barrels of oil with, I think, minimal environmental impact. Shale oil in the west has become -- Congress wants to have a moratorium on that.

Gas formations, like the Barnett shale, today is adding significantly to the gas supplies. Nuclear power. There is an area where the Congress, in 2005, did act through various loan guarantees and the like. It is now 2008 and no permits have been issued. It took two years to write the rules on the loan guarantees, and that process goes slow in this Nation, while Britain revisits. We -- nuclear power -- Brown government, while places like Japan and China are -- in France, nuclear power has been so successful -- export of electricity.

We process virtually all the -- sufficiently to store in a single location and a lot smaller -- so we think manufacturers ought to keep the pressure up. I am confident that if we start building nuclear power plants, the other people will have the steel ready for those plants and we'll be ready to go.

So we think, in the elections of 2008, there

ought to be a conversation about growth in America. That sector demands \$1.7 trillion in GDP on an annual basis. It employs 14 million people directly, and 6 to 10 million indirectly. That ought to be part of the conversation and that ought to be on everybody's agenda.

A manufacturing job isn't Democrat or

Republican, it's just good, high-paying wages for

workers in the station. That's what we ought to be

focused on. So we'd like to have accountability, we'd

like the candidates and public to remember that people

who work in manufacturing matter, and that without

manufacturing you won't have economic security or

national security in this Nation.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN KELLER: Thank you. Appreciate that very much.

Council members, here is your opportunity to ask John any questions. What kind of questions would you have, or anything that he said that stimulates some thoughts that you'd like to comment on?

MR. DiMICCO: Governor, Dan DiMicco, here.

What are you hearing on the Hill about increased energy exploration, moving nuclear issues further on, faster?

GOVERNOR ENGLER: I am encouraged. In the

Senate, we've got a bipartisan group of five Democrat and five Republican Senators that are talking. I am frustrated with both the House and Senate; it seems ANWAR is off the agenda, although I think they're getting closer to having the votes. But it doesn't seem, in the short term, they're going to revisit that question. Depending on the election outcome, there may be a limit. But I think much broader access to Outer Continental Shelf supplies, which we think are very lucrative in terms of the potential supply that's there.

I think some additional access -- Senator Lams in Interior has recently moved on some of the shale opportunities in the west. We think that's positive, but they need to lift the moratorium that they've got on shale as well.

The House also has a bipartisan group, a little bigger group, led by Congressman Abercrombie from Pennsylvania, and -- Peterson. What they are also trying to do, is put something together on more of a partisan basis. The Republican conference today is going out on the steps and saying, we've got a triplepart plan on the supply. There are some who say, well, it's all about the speculators. I think that's very much worthy of inquiry. However, we still need the

product.

It's hard to think we're at a point where \$130 oil seems like it's getting cheaper. But I think that's one of the risks you've got. There's no question that the public out there, the fact that gasoline went past \$4, somehow that was kind of a -- where is the tipping point? Well, it was \$4, it turned out. That's what got everybody's attention. That's what, in turn, put the pressure on the Congress.

There are some leaders who don't think they're going to do anything and they'll sort of run the clock out. I think this one is starting to bite back home on a lot of members in both parties, and so I think there's a desire to deal with this now. We're optimistic that we may get some additional flexibility out of this Congress.

At the NAM, we make it clear -- some say it takes years to produce this. It's going to take years from when you start, but if you never start you're never going to get anything. Some of these issues have been around for 10 years. We'd be producing in some of these areas today and if we'd have made these decisions. On 5545, if a little more help comes out of this Congress --

MR. KAMENSTEIN: I have a question for you.

Is NAM working at all with the nonprofit environmental organization to try to come out with a policy that -- can agree on, they can agree on? I mean, they're aware of what's going on here, abundantly aware. I think that they want to look for alternative energy sources. Most of them are realistic. Some of them are.

It seems to me that a concerted position, effort, going forward aligning both the manufacturing sector with what may have been the traditional "enemy" in the past would prove to be a very, very strong force in propelling our government to move on a sensible energy policy.

GOVERNOR ENGLER: You would think it would help. I mean, it's been three weeks now, I guess. I did a press conference with Christie Lichtman, and among the -- op-ed -- but Senator Tom Carper was, and that was all about nuclear power. We were coming at it from the jobs impact of the research of the nuclear power industry in the country, and the fact that that's non-emitting, carbon-free power and can be done environmentally safe. I think there's substantial momentum there. I really think that -- I mean, you've got a little chicken-or-egg question. Everybody likes to build the third reactor. There's a little angst about being first.

On the drilling, there has not been a similar, I would say, success where somebody is saying, well, yes, we'd be open to this. Part of the trade-off, you would imagine, is saying, what are we doing about investing in alternatives, what are we doing on the research side? We've supported, at the National Association of Manufacturers, all of the research efforts.

We believe the National Petroleum Council report that was done--it's an advisory group to the Secretary of Energy--was a very solid piece of work and it endorsed all of the research. In coal, you could do liquification or gasification and that has enormous potential.

We certainly are excited about the potential for energy efficiency. I've been meeting with the British leaders because they're a little further ahead, obviously, on the continent. One of the things that they've done over there to try to deal with Kyoto and reducing carbon impact, is to really focus on their residential and commercial sector. We've not done that very much, but we know that through -- I was in Milwaukee and I saw a video about water heaters up in Michigan; talked with Guardian Glass; in Owens, Illinois, on insulation; GE and Siemen's on smart

controls.

All of these are sort of pieces of a puzzle. There's been sporadic support for some tax credits to incent this, but I think that's going to have to be a much bigger program, probably led by utilities. We've been talking about them as well. You know, you need to be thinking, how do I basically build a new generating plant through conservation? How do I get the 1,000 megawatts conservation in the homes that I'm serving? The Energy Department has been pretty good on what they call their Green Star initiative, or Energy Star initiative. But we think that's an area, and some of the environmental groups are part of that.

But there's an element--and that element is pretty strong in the House of Representatives and some of the leadership there--that I describe as, they don't like any fuel source or any means of production, but have a policy supporting affordable energy and available supplies for all. It's hard to pull all that together.

CHAIRMAN KELLER: If I take off my Chairman's hat a minute, I'll just ask a question.

GOVERNOR ENGLER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN KELLER: You mentioned the alternative energy. The National Renewable Energy Lab

has predicted and proposed that we can receive as much as 20 percent of our energy nationally from wind, and that has great implications for manufacturing.

Literally, we can manufacture energy. How are you doing on that? Any thoughts on that? One issue there that does impact that industry is the production tax credit, which has been on again/off again. If that could be stable, people could count on it.

GOVERNOR ENGLER: You know, to me it's very logical. You would think that these credits, you'd come up with some kind of oil equivalency and say we're going to have credits in here that work at this level, and maybe even keep a floor of some sort. I mean, we're probably never going back to \$50 oil.

CHAIRMAN KELLER: Right.

GOVERNOR ENGLER: But some way to get some line of sight. Now, I do remember as a governor, when the ethanol -- interest came in and said, look, oil is \$30 a barrel. If it ever got to \$40, we'd be -- man, we'd be competitive. We don't need any help because we were phasing out tax credits at the time. They said, oh, you can't do that. If oil went just a little bit higher -- well, of course oil is at \$140, and everybody still has ethanol credits. I think once people get credits, they kind of like those, too.

CHAIRMAN KELLER: Right. Sure.

GOVERNOR ENGLER: But I think there are price points here in these industries to get them started and they're useful. I think that there is -- I mean, Boone Pickens is making it pretty clear, and he's spent a lot of money to do it. But you've got other issues. I mean, we've got an infrastructure -- I didn't talk a lot about it today. A couple of my favorite topics are both workforce and infrastructure, and interestingly, they're related because you won't be able to do the kind of infrastructure we need without having a better skilled workforce to do it. But we need transmission lines.

Our governor back in Michigan is talking about wind energy, but we're about a third as efficient as the plains of Kansas and other places are with wind energy. So you'd be better off putting your investment in the transmission line, put your windmill where there's more wind, and move the power back. The problem is, where the greatest wind is is not necessarily where we need the power.

But on the other hand, as the technology gets better than I presume you're going to get more efficient, even in the more marginal places of producing. That's why we've not been -- Congress has

had a debate over a renewable portfolio where they want to say every utility has X percent, but that works a lot better if -- you know, if you've got nuclear plants you're home free, but if you don't and you are, say, gas dependent, but now where you've got wind or water, you've --

CHAIRMAN KELLER: It has to go by region.
Yes.

GOVERNOR ENGLER: That was the problem. They weren't nuanced on this at all. They just wanted to flop down a mandate. Some markets are pretty important, but you've got to understand them.

CHAIRMAN KELLER: Other questions for the Governor?

MR. HOLMES: Sir, does NAM have a section that gets involved with health care?

GOVERNOR ENGLER: We do have a Health Care
Task Force that we operate. I just had lunch today
with a couple of reporters on the topic of health care.
I told the reporter, and I can say it here, we have to
pay attention to any sector of the economy that is
bigger than ours, and we've got a pretty big sector.
Here's health care at about \$2.1 trillion, and it's
soaring. We have two big focal points. We know
there's going to be a big debate about how you pay for

all of this in the future, but things can be sort of right at hand. We've tried to do a lot.

Some of the companies are just spectacular on wellness and prevention agendas, and they've had real savings and cost stabilization because they're trying to manage or prevent a chronic condition or a chronic disease, how they're doing that. We also are very supportive of health IT, because one of the problems in the \$2 trillion spent out there is that there's very little accountability for that and we'd like to drive more of that.

CHAIRMAN KELLER: That's an overstatement, isn't it? Yes.

Any other questions?

MR. DiMICCO: Governor, do you see us turning around this trend that we have seen going back all the way to 2000, where virtually every month we have lost manufacturing gains? The only category that seems to be growing are governmental jobs. We lose manufacturing jobs every month now. Nucor is very familiar with productivity enhancements. We understand what you can do with productivity and how you can do more with less.

But consistently, month after month after month, when is Congress and whoever becomes our next

President — are they going to get the message that this is a serious bleeding that's going on, a drain on this Nation's ability to have the standard of living that it's hard for the last 50 years? Because you can't go on losing 30,000, 40,000 jobs, 20,000 in high-paying manufacturing jobs every month, month after month, for eight years. Yet, that's what's been going on.

of things. The transportation costs, the energy costs alone are a big -- I read a report recently where just the rising energy costs of transportation alone can be as much as an 11 to 14 percent tariff. That's how much it's gone up in packing and shipping. That's causing some reappraisal of these really far-flung supply chains. In some cases it may well -- at this point some of it's anecdotal, but there's a lot of reevaluation going on about, should I be moving production back here?

MR. DiMICCO: It's true. I think the other thing I recognize—and I should have made this point earlier because I try to make it a lot—most people recognize how bad the housing market has been. That's one market everybody's pretty much in. The housing market is in the tank and it's really hurting the

economy.

In fact, what housing and the decline is taken out of GDP, manufacturing and exports have put back in. In other words, the growth of exports has offset the decline in housing. It's sort of a stunning comparison because the people have this magnitude of housing. It's so vast, and then they really don't understand exports. It must be pretty vast as well.

But to your point, the jobs here -- I mean, I read a lot about that. We're not the Chinese

Manufacturers Association or the Indian Manufacturers, we're the National Association of our Nation. I do think there are a couple of things under way. I think some of this has been market driven because the market growth -- there are a lot of markets out in the world today, and just the way we want people to come here, we're in a situation where we're trying to go there to compete. So, that's part.

The other part that I think is going on is that productivity that everybody has been deploying, and in many ways would drive labor costs down or the labor component down, you've got a little bit of the function of what happened in agriculture. I mean, we're output rising, with fewer people doing it. I don't think we count manufacturing. The Secretary will

have to talk about this. Before he leaves, he sort of gets to put at least a partial imprimatur around the next Census.

But if we could ever figure out, in the next Census, how to really count everybody — there's a guy at UPS who is doing logistics for the manufacturing firm and is a service worker under the Census. In the old days he was probably on the third floor of the manufacturing plant, trying to figure that stuff out and he counted.

In the old days, we had people -- one in the cafeteria. They got rolled into a manufacturing number. There's nobody in that count today in manufacturing. So they've run out all of these other ancillary jobs who used to kind of get masked in the manufacturing number, so I think that's also part of it.

I don't deny there are fewer facilities of a lot of the older household names. I mean, I look at Michigan. I mean, Ford, General Motors and Chrysler, they've got a lot -- but when I was a governor in 1991, my first year, and every year for 12 years, they started out wanting to be smaller that year. They wanted to make more vehicles, but they wanted to have fewer people.

So I think it's -- I'm not sure just measuring head counts is the way we're going to do this. I think it's output and I think it ought to be economic growth, and it probably us the unemployment rate, and a few of these things. But I'm not sure a specific manufacturing head count is the best measure, because everybody is trying to get jobs out. You know, those meetings you've been holding, which I think have been good, but there's nothing like -- we've got lines opened back up, as you know, in this country. We've got production that we thought was gone for everyone.

CHAIRMAN KELLER: Eyes in Michigan are opening up.

GOVERNOR ENGLER: Yes.

SECRETARY GUTIERREZ: If I can just jump in, I want to correct your numbers a little bit. The economy has created over 5 million new jobs, and thankfully they have not come from government. They've come from the private sector, they've come from health care, they've come from services, financial services, they've come from R&D.

Manufacturing, to your point, has fluctuated from about 11.9 to 12.9 percent of GDP for the last 10 years. But I just want to make sure, just in case somebody caught that, it's not the government who is

creating jobs, it's the private sector.

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

GOVERNOR ENGLER: I'll let you have the last word.

SECRETARY GUTIERREZ: No, that's all right.

MS. JOHNSON: Well, it might be a good indicator also. We're probably already tracking how many new businesses we're starting each year here. I mean, for the first time in our history our leading edge of being the world's innovators is being challenged, and I think that if we could track on -you know, create the environment, as the Secretary mentioned, where we make the tax cuts permanent, where oil exploration becomes a priority, and we get these free trade agreements going -- to think that, you know, we have a \$21 billion surplus with the 11 free trade agreements that President Bush has established or approved, and we only have 14 total -- I mean, the opportunity there to create more jobs and businesses is so great, that if we could truly focus on the tax cuts, oil exploration and free trade, we would have an environment that people would want to come to and we could maintain our lead as the world's innovators.

SECRETARY GUTIERREZ: You are so right.

GOVERNOR ENGLER: On Saturday, I spoke up in New York at the 50th anniversary of the IIPN and IT --

and one of the things that's changed that we've got to be very cautious about—and again, Congress had a decision to make, and thus far they've made the wrong decision—is — we used to be a bank and everybody in the world wanted to come here and start a business.

Today, we're expecting taxpayers to fund graduate education programs and we still want students from our — students come from around the world. Then what do we want to do? Go home.

Why would we pay for those schools and then send the talent back? We should be giving them the visa and keeping them here. I mean, these are some of the best and brightest young people from all over the world, and we're sending them back home. In the old days, we kept them. That's just one of the policies. Another one is foreign earnings. At a time when exports are booming, we don't want repatriation of foreign earnings, to come back at very high corporate tax rates. So what's the instinct of everybody? What is the logical — to go offshore. If you go offshore every time you do something —

SECRETARY GUTIERREZ: You're so right.

GOVERNOR ENGLER: You can do it a lot cheaper out there with the money you might have brought back home. One time we fought that repatriation and brought

back \$300 billion or something. I mean, why can we not do that as a matter of policy? I mean, I don't think that's a hard question. But you've already had discussions on it.

SECRETARY GUTIERREZ: The point of small business is to -- tax policy. You mentioned death taxes. People talk about it as though this would be a benefit for a huge inheritance if we get rid of that tax. It's actually small businesses who are able to keep their business in the family or able to pass it on to heirs. There was an interesting point as to the number: 50 percent of all new jobs are created by companies that are less than five years old, so this isn't about -- our economy isn't about large, multinational corporations. It's about small businesses, and it has always been.

GOVERNOR ENGLER: A lot of these smart guys took old, failed steel companies and turned them around and started things going. Guys like that, or the guys who started Google -- it was family-owned and started in a garage. Google started in a little lab, and these things are behemoths today.

MR. DiMICCO: One of the things that certainly helps certain sectors of manufacturing like the steel industry has been the Department of Commerce's

willingness to go out and fight for another playing field and enforcement of existing trade laws and agreements that we've had with many of our trading partners, and in particular, last year with respect to China, both on the subsidies issue and on the dumping issues. These have been very, very helpful.

We commend you for that, and know that you'll stay the course and make sure that agreements that people have made with the United States and have access to our markets will be enforced. That's all we've ever asked for in terms of that level playing field. But you mentioned the panel of meetings all across the country. We probably had about 25 of them, probably talked to over 100,000 people.

They've been very well-received because the people out there understand that things are not as good as they could be, and as good as they have been, and they want it to be better. Our message has been one that we can do better. We just need to create the emphasis, the focus, and a level playing field on which to compete. If we do that, then we will be able to regain our dominance in the world with respect to manufacturing. There is also no doubt that we've lost a lot of manufacturing. The weaker dollar has certainly helped us regain an edge when it comes to

exports today.

Nucor, today, is exporting two million tons of steel. That, at \$1,000 a ton, is a couple of billion dollars, just from Nucor, that two years ago we weren't doing because we couldn't. The global demand helps, but also the weaker dollar helps. Currencies can have an impact. As you know, we have fought the issues on the China currency situation, which still haven't been resolved. The administration has been working on it, but the Chinese haven't given a whole lot. These things can make a difference. So I am very encouraged by the exporting opportunities that manufacturers have today.

Hopefully we're going to see a rebuild of some of the manufacturing opportunities that we've lost. I, for one, believe they can come back. I'd like them to come back as U.S. manufacturers, but if they come back as Toyota plants and Honda plants and Daimler-Chrysler plants or Daimler plants, Mercedes-Benz or BMW, they're creating American jobs and high-paying jobs, and that's a good thing.

CHAIRMAN KELLER: Thanks, Dan.

Thank you, Governor, for coming and having a stimulating conversation with us. Thank you so much.

GOVERNOR ENGLER: My pleasure.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY SUTTON: My reputation is intact. (Laughter) CHAIRMAN KELLER: Absolutely. Thanks for being with us. Appreciate it. GOVERNOR ENGLER: Thank you very much. 

# REPORT FROM BREAKOUT SESSIONS

# Manufacturing 2040 Subcommittee

CHAIRMAN KELLER: We have two other reportouts here this afternoon. We've spoken this morning,
or I should say we've received, an understanding in a
breakout session about two kind of umbrella issues: one
being, what is the future of manufacturing in 2040, and
secondly, kind of a sustainable manufacturing issue.
How do we become more sustainable as manufacturers in
all three elements of sustainability?

The first one was the idea of manufacturing 2040, what the future would look like for manufacturing in the future. Harding, you were chosen to please report out on what you learned this morning. If you could give us a few bullet points on that, we'd appreciate it. Kellie, you were there. Would you help facilitate any discussion we have in this area?

MS. JOHNSON: I can do that.

CHAIRMAN KELLER: Okay.

MR. STOWE: Mr. Chairman, I'm proud to have the opportunity to report on the 2040 Subcommittee. I was joined at that meeting by three members of the Council: Kellie Johnson, who serves as Vice Chair, Dean Bartles, and John Lowery.

The subcommittee had met by conference call previously two times in May and in June, and met today in person. The meeting was divided into three breakout sessions focusing on issues that have been identified as most important currently. These sessions were for:

1) messaging; 2) outreach in portal development; 3) maintaining momentum through scenario development.

A member of the Manufacturing Council attended each one of these sessions.

I'm going to give a brief conclusion of each one of them. It's not complete. If other members that were in these breakout meetings would like to add to it, I'd certainly welcome that.

Under messaging, the important thing is the manufacturer's industry's image. As we look to 2040, we have to have a message that is bold, that is genuine. We need to attract young people. Young people need to see opportunity. They need to see an industry that is green and not an industry that, at least in their own mind, is a pollutant industry. They need to see manufacturing as requiring high skills, not an industry of menial jobs and dead-end opportunity.

This generation uses technology. Sometimes that brings simplicity. It's hard to define. Woody challenged the committee to come up with one word that

could be Googled that could -- that's not

"manufacturing" that encompasses what we want

manufacturing to be: individual empowerment, ownership,

new ideas, new products, new systems. We're still

working on that word. Woody says he doesn't sleep at

night anymore, thinking about what that word is.

(Laughter)

MR. STOWE: The outreach and portal development meeting. We see the initial link as the manufacturing.gov Web site for the 2040 initiative, but later it will be important to move beyond a government relationship to more independence. This site needs to be quasi-open source, or at least to have the ability for information to pass freely up, down, and around. There needs to be regional links that provide information of geographical resources.

Again, focusing on youth and the opportunities they see looking forward to the year 2040. We need to take advantage of the advancements in technology, in networking with sites like Facebook, interactive in real-life like YouTube, and interactive in the virtual environment like Secondlife, and the opportunities that we would have on the Web to express 2040 in these different ways.

The third route, was maintaining momentum

through scenario development. It was focused on U.S. competitiveness on each of the identified 2040 road map topics. Infrastructure, workplace development, energy/environment, and innovation perhaps need their own task force focused on it. It's too encompassing for one meeting.

However, additional topics were identified as: protection of new technology; immigration; and trade policy that is environmentally focused, where the United States does not lose business to polluting countries, countries that are awarded business because they don't spend the money and have the controls that we do. The final idea is that needs to be a deliverable, and that is manufacturing in the United States.

I'd welcome any other comments.

MS. JOHNSON: I think you summed it up just perfectly, Harding. The biggest issue that we discussed -- I was in the imaging breakout group. Before anything else can happen, we really have to change the image of manufacturing and we have to be bold and visionary before anything else can roll down out of that. I think that's our biggest challenge. Emily DiRocca was heading up the group in terms of the imaging concept. I know that she met with industry

leaders today.

On September 15th, she's going to be hosting another meeting with industry leaders to really test her will to see that people are really going to put some skin in the game and get involved, and if they are, then they're going to go full bore, hire some professionals, and we're going to change, because as manufacturing — as the Secretary mentioned, you know, 50 percent of new jobs are coming from companies that are five years old or less. You know, if we're going to be the world's innovators, it's going to come from small companies. I think the landscape of manufacturing is going to change. We have to put that out there.

So September 15th, I think, will be a critical day to really see that the will of the manufacturing population is behind this -- as I said. So we'll see. The Manufacturing Council would like, if this concept does go forward, I know it's Woody's vision to have it be a living, breathing concept. It's not an end game. We're not trying to get to 2040 and solve all of the questions and have all of the answers by then. It's going to be very dynamic. If we're going to -- I lost my train of thought. I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN KELLER: That's good. That's great.

#### REPORT FROM BREAKOUT SESSIONS

# Sustainable Manufacturing Subcommittee

CHAIRMAN KELLER: We're a little behind our time line here anyway, so let's move now to the Sustainable Manufacturing Subcommittee.

Jason, you were nominated to report out on what we've learned this morning.

MR. SPEER: I was. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

We broke down into our sustainable
manufacturing session this morning. We started out
hearing from Matt Howard of the Sustainable
Manufacturing Initiative League, and he let us know
that, based on an event that was held in September of
2007 by himself and Assistant Secretary Sutton, from
feedback from the private and public sector, that there
was a need for this initiative.

So after following that through the manufacturing.gov Web site, they set up a sustainable manufacturing Web site. If you click on manufacturing,gov, there's a recycle -- little emblem on there. You click on that. You can get the breakdown of all the different programs that different government entities offer. There also is a sign-up for e-mail updates, which I encourage everyone to do. As

it's updated, you'll get e-mails on it.

From there, we heard from Saul from the OECD. He was the study coordinator and kind of broke down the initiative for us. He started talking about a broad range and the best way to proceed, and from there he spoke to us about Sustainable Manufacturing American Regional Tours, or SMART.

This is basically going out on the road, showing what different businesses in the local communities have done for sustainable manufacturing, getting people in to learn what can be done, and hopefully encouraging small and medium companies to get in and learn what they can do. There will be the first SMART tour on Sun Products in St. Louis, Missouri on Monday, July 28. So that will be coming up, and there will be future tours after that.

The third, and final part of the program is they wanted metrics. They want to measure sustainable manufacturing. They want a goal. The OECD is really looking for feedback on this from both public and private manufacturing industries. They're having a meeting September 23-25, and they are seeking input from anyone to try and gauge the metrics and how to proceed with that.

After that, we heard a briefing from the

inter-agency working group. We heard some information from the Department of Justice, Department of Energy, the White House, Department of Labor, the Office for Workforce Development, and the EPA on various initiatives that they have.

After that, we had a little bit of talk. The Council thought of a few things that we'd like to bring out for discussion. There were some possible action items that might be forthcoming. There will be a letter addressing the marketing and promotion of the Web site as it proceeds, and, of course, any additional feedback from the Council on the metrics goal. They are looking potentially at having the meeting on the 23-25, so there might be a letter forthcoming to the committee.

That's the recap, if anyone else has any comments.

CHAIRMAN KELLER: Any other comments or questions on either of these subcommittees that the Council members would like to make? Peter, you're looking like you want to say something.

MR. KAMENSTEIN: No, no. I mean, one of the things that we specifically spoke about was really making a concerted effort to get the manufacturing, and even the consumer populace, familiar with what Commerce

is doing in the various programs that they're offering and to have some type of, if possible -- maybe some type of national campaign, really getting out there in the public -- quite frankly, what's being offered now -- programs, but I doubt if very many organizations, companies, and consumers really know about -- how to avail themselves of it. It should be something that's really made much more public than it is now, and I think the only way to do that is to basically have some type of national advertising.

MR. SPEER: Our company, being a small company too, we don't have a lot of resources. So I think having a searchable database for all these programs that the government offers, the various entities -- then part of it is getting the word out that would be beneficial.

SECRETARY GUTIERREZ: Like commercial service programs and things of that nature?

MR. KAMENSTEIN: Yes. I mean, you often see this. But I use an example, the old Smokey the Bear type thing. The National Forest Service had a campaign — but something that represents having sustainable actions. That is — get amongst — sustainability has become a major issue. It certainly is — and I think that there could be a campaign in association with the

Advertising Council or -- and in association with even places like Wal-Mart, or whatever, and get out in the public's face what the government is actually making available to the public and to -- because they don't know. I can guarantee you that 85 to 90 percent of the companies in the United States do not know anything about the multitude of programs that the Commerce Department and the other departments are offering to promote sustainable manufacturing.

SECRETARY GUTIERREZ: I think that's a great point. We might think about bringing forward Izzy's group or having someone come and at least let this Council know what we've got going and what kind of programs we have to help exporters, to help commercial business overseas. I think it's a great plan. We should start making sure that we know what we're doing to help businesses, and small businesses.

MR. KAMENSTEIN: Dan brought up a really good point about utilizing NAM and other organizations. Why don't you expand on that?

MR. DiMICCO: Well, Matt and his team are doing a good job of showing us all the information that's available on the various Web sites and how they've organized things, talking about what could be done to get this information out, and how could it be

gotten out. Well, there's a wealth of already preexisting manufacturing councils around this country. Every State has several. Small communities have them. I've talked to one in Rhode Island, I've talked with one in North Carolina, I've talked with the one in Cleveland.

So there's already a network out there that has, in many cases, their own staff that we could lock into, and NAM certainly is another one where the information that is available on what can be done, what the government has to support efforts of small manufacturers and medium-sized manufacturers could be easily disseminated out all of these manufacturing councils who are made up of small manufacturers in small towns all across the United States.

So there is that infrastructure. It is all ready. It doesn't have to be recreated. We just need to tap into it. Today, the communication channels through the Internet and what have you are very easy. Everybody has got their own Web sites. It can be done.

The States, in many cases, have, through their Economic Development Commissions, tie-ins to the manufacturing councils in their State. So it's something that, this information can be gotten out.

The other thing that we talked about with

sustainability was, we were asked, each of us, what we thought was our definition of why we were interested in sustainability and what we thought sustainability was about. It's really a very broad issue. It's not just one or two items. It encompasses environmental, it encompasses energy, it encompasses raw materials, it encompasses profitability, it encompasses safety, running your operation safely.

It encompasses a number of different things that are very important to the overall sustainability of manufacturing. We have to do things in an environmentally compatible way, and you can make money doing that. Nucor is a great example of that, with our recycling, being one of the world's largest recyclers.

There are plenty of opportunities here, but you have to look at a broad definition of sustainability, not at a narrow one. That doesn't mean you can't focus on driving down into narrower areas, but overall you'd better be profitable or you're not going to be around. You'd better be environmentally compatible or you're not going to be around. You'd better run safe organizations, and you'd better be using materials efficiently and productively. As John Engler said a little while ago, you need energy to manufacture. So, sustainability encompasses a broad

range of issues important to manufacturers, and we should look at it from that standpoint.

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN KELLER: I think there were a couple of different communication thoughts, one being the communication to the manufacturers. That is, I think, what Dan is addressing. Also, the idea that the --NIST also is a vehicle that can be used to disseminate this kind of information -- but there was also this more public realm that I think Peter was addressing, and that is, how do we address the state of manufacturing, which really relates to the first -- I was hearing from the first group that's talking about communicating what modern manufacturing looks like today, and oh, by the way, that is very sustainable? It can be, and that can be a message that we could It's one thing to come from an advocacy group like Dan, but it's another one to come from something like Department of Commerce. I think that's what Peter was saying.

MR. KAMENSTEIN: And I view it as a very positive message. Sustainability is a positive issue, so the message can be positive.

CHAIRMAN KELLER: Absolutely. There's a thought process there. I just wanted to make that distinction.

#### COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC

CHAIRMAN KELLER: We are running down on our time here. We're going to lose the Secretary pretty soon. But I'd like to be able to ask the public, those in attendance, if there's any interest in making a comment, you're certainly welcome to do so at this time.

Yes, sir, Mr. Frink?

ASSISTANT SECRETARY FRINK: Just to a point that was brought up about manufacturing perception and image, in my mind so many of the issues that have been discussed today, and will be continued to be discussed for here and forever, will be affected positively or negatively until we change the perception of manufacturing at the public level where we're seeing some of the pressure of that from energy now, and movement on energy in terms of exploration, because public pressure has finally made a difference. At the manufacturing level, we know that it's 10 percent plus of the GDP and we know that it employs 14 million people.

Yet, Congress does not fear votes against issues that affect manufacturing. So, so many of the issues like tax cuts, free trade, are politicized

because the perception of manufacturing isn't such that there's a fear factor in terms of how Congress moves legislation one way or another.

And it comes from perception. People still do not have a full connection that manufacturing is beyond the smoky, billowing stacks to all levels, from manufacturing musical instruments to processing food. Does anybody think of "manufacturer" when they eat a Corn Flake? That is the degree of misperception that needs to be corrected.

I think that that initiative that is being talked about, it's a big reason why I'm with the Board of Trustees of the Manufacturing Institute, is to see if there's enough will within the manufacturing sector, associations and otherwise, to really belly up and realize that unless we unify manufacturing into a voice that is strong enough to change these perceptions and move legislation and humanize manufacturing as agriculture has done so effectively for so many years — to say I feel passionate about that is probably an understatement.

CHAIRMAN KELLER: Noted. Thank you very much.

Other comments?

SECRETARY GUTIERREZ: A couple of thoughts.

He mentioned government policy and congressional

policy. I cannot remember a time when policy has the opportunity and the ability to throw things off in a big way or keep us on track. John mentioned 14 million employees and the power of letting those 14 million employees know what's at stake. When we talk about trade, it is very easy to talk about these things without connecting the dots.

Manufacturing equals trade. The idea of some of our partners that need to be looked at where we need to apply countervailing duty or we need to apply antidumping regulations, those are usually partners where we don't have free trade agreements. You hear the knock on free trade agreements as though that's the excuse, that's the reason, and it's wrong. So, that's at stake.

The more free trade agreements we have, the more we'll manufacture, the more we'll export, the more we'll grow jobs. Our biggest manufacturing item in the country is capital goods. I believe either the second or third biggest is industrial supplies. Those are all manufactured goods.

Capital is -- by the way, we had an all-time record in the month of May. These are big manufactured items, big-ticket items that are manufactured. Well, but if we don't have free trade agreements, we're going

to continue to wrestle with countries with which we don't have an agreement, like China, where we haven't established the rules, where we don't have a mechanism by which to enforce the agreement that is comprehensive.

So it is so dangerous that we stand still on free trade agreements while the rest of the world is moving forward. That's one thing. I would hope that those 14 million employees that John talked about, I mean, do they know what's at stake and do they know what the risks are? Taxes are another major policy issue. Every time we turn around there's a tax increase in a bill.

As John again mentioned, we have a disadvantage. If companies are thinking about, where should I invest my money, in Ireland or in the U.S., in Canada or in the U.S., chances are they're not going to pick the U.S. because our taxes are a lot higher than most countries. You mentioned a company in Germany. We used to compare ourselves with Germany, where they had a 40-plus percent tax rate. Their tax rates today are in the 20s. So, it's a huge disadvantage.

Then, energy. In a broad sense, energy, from the standpoint of supply, from the standpoint of environmental policy, people aren't connecting dots.

It's so easy to say, no, we have not built a nuclear reactor in a long time, we haven't built a refinery in over 25 years, it takes a decade or so to get a natural gas terminal to be approved, and we know that we're not authorizing exploration and drilling. It's easy to say, well, companies have all these leases.

The problem is, they haven't found any oil in those leases. So, you know, it's been a policy of saying, no, no, no, and we need to have people have the guts to say yes, because unless we do we're going to have a tremendous disadvantage. I mean, there's more money flowing out of our country than ever before. It's not because of trade, it's because of energy costs.

Environmental policy is also critical. The President said, look, we want to have aggressive targets on  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  emissions, but we can't do it unilaterally. It's ironic that the same people who want these unilateral climate change targets will be the same ones that criticize manufacturing moving overseas.

If we have unilateral, aggressive targets to lower our emissions without taking into account that we have to include China, India, and other countries, you're going to see manufacturing going overseas like

you've never seen it before. These are all things that are at stake. I would just hope that, not just you, but your 14 million employees get in the game and convey just what's at stake here, because there's an awful lot at stake.

So, Mr. Chairman, thank you. Great meeting. CHAIRMAN KELLER: Thank you very much.

MR. DiMICCO: Mr. Secretary, we need you to get on television and say that very thing. You did a very good job. The only thing I would add to it is, there are some elements of trade with China that do amount to a significant amount of money going offshore. That's another matter.

SECRETARY GUTIERREZ: You know, again, we don't have a free trade agreement with China. That's where people -- you know, they confuse free trade agreements and trade in general, and globalization.

One way to attack that is to have more --

CHAIRMAN KELLER: Very good. Thank you. All right.

# 

## NEXT STEPS

CHAIRMAN KELLER: We're at the point where we need to conclude the meeting, but I would like to suggest that we have next steps. The next steps are that we have established the subcommittees. Kellie and I are committed to making sure that we have this organized well. You have all expressed an interest on one or the other.

opportunities for doing that. But let's commit to working on those for the next 60 days or so. We have a potential meeting on September 23rd. That will be something that the executive secretary will be looking at trying to organize, so we'll look at having that meeting on the 23rd, potentially, in Rochester, New York.

So that is generally our next steps, and as a result of that, to be able to come up with some letters of recommendation.

Are all in agreement on that? Do we all feel pretty good about that?

VOICES: Yes.

CHAIRMAN KELLER: All right.

With that, if I could have a motion for

II

	64
1	adjournment.
2	MR. DiMICCO: One comment.
3	CHAIRMAN KELLER: One comment before
4	adjournment. Yes, sir?
5	MR. DiMICCO: It's to organization.
6	CHAIRMAN KELLER: Yes?
7	MR. DiMICCO: I would have loved to have been
8	able to sit on both committees today.
9	CHAIRMAN KELLER: Yes. Yes.
10	MR. DiMICCO: Is there some way that we could
11	have those sessions so they don't run at the same time?
12	There's not that many of us. There's only 14.
13	CHAIRMAN KELLER: We'll throw that challenge
14	to the executive secretary and see if they can handle
15	it.
16	MR. DiMICCO: But for now I'll give you a
17	motion.
18	CHAIRMAN KELLER: All right.
19	Motion for adjournment. Is there support?
20	Any dissention?
21	(No response)
22	CHAIRMAN KELLER: Hearing none, we stand
23	adjourned. Thank you very much.
24	(Whereupon, at 3:36 p.m. the meeting was
25	adjourned.)

## CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing proceedings of a meeting of The Manufacturing Council, held on Wednesday, July 23, 2008, were transcribed as herein appears, and this is the original transcript thereof.

## LISA L. DENNIS

Certified Verbatim Reporter